



# Measuring Systemic Risk

Università  
Ca' Foscari  
Venezia

Acharya,  
Pedersen,  
Philippon,  
Richardson

Discussion by Monica Billio

10<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CREDIT RISK EVALUATION



2011 *r.e.d.i.t.*  
Credit Risk Evaluation  
Designed for Institutional  
Targeting in Finance

**Stability and Risk  
Control in Banking,  
Insurance,  
and Financial Markets**

29 | 30 September 2011  
Scuola Grande di San Giovanni Evangelista Venice





# Background

- Current financial regulations seek to limit each institution's risk
- However, unless external costs of systemic risk are internalized by each financial institution, the institution will have the incentive to take risks that are borne by all



Università  
Ca' Foscari  
Venezia

---

# Objectives

- Introduce a model-based and practically relevant measure of systemic risk
- Measure the contribution of each single financial institution to systemic risk
- Support regulators to define rules able to limit systemic risk



# Measure proposed

---

- Systemic expected shortfall (SES):
- $SES = f(MES, \text{leverage})$
- Institutions internalize their externality if they are “taxed” based on SES



# Out of sample

---

- How good is this measure?
- Crisis 2007-2009
- MES: losses and CDS
- Stress Test: Supervisory Capital Assessment Program (Spring 2009)



# Comments

---

This measure has many of the advantages a systemic risk measure should have, since it is able to measure the four “L”:

- Leverage
- Liquidity
- Linkages
- Losses



# Comments

- Systemic risk is certainly a complex concept and maybe more than one measure can be helpful in identifying and quantify it
- In Billio, Getmansky, Lo and Pelizzon (2011) we propose other measures to capture systemic risk based on Granger causality networks
- To show how relevant it is the use of more than one measure we performed an out of sample analysis and combine ours and the MES measure



# Comments

---

- *We provide out-of-sample results for our measure (# of “Out” Connections causality measure) and MES*
- *Measures are calculated over July 2005 – June 2007 and the out-of-sample period is July 2007 - December 2008*
- *Weekly frequency*





# Comments

---

- *We have performed a rank regression*

$$\text{rank}_{i,\text{loss},t+1} = \alpha + \beta_1(\text{rank}_{i,\text{MES},t}) + \beta_2(\text{rank}_{i,\text{out},t}) + e_{t+1}$$

|              | <b>Coeff</b> | <b>t-stat</b> | <b>p-value</b> | <b>R-square</b> |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>alpha</b> | 11.05        | 1.81          | 0.08           | 0.13            |
| <b>Out</b>   | 0.32         | 2.35          | 0.02           |                 |
| <b>MES</b>   | 0.26         | 1.89          | 0.06           |                 |



# To Sum Up

- Excellent paper that addresses and answers very important questions!
- Interesting positive and normative insights on the regulation of the systemic risk
- Enjoy reading it!